Precedent No. 6

AFFIDAVIT: UNDER ORDER XXXVII, RULE 5 OF THE CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE, 1908

	BEFORE THE HON'BLE CIVIL COURT
	Interlocutory Application No of 20
In	
Original Suit No of 20	
IN	THE MATTER OF:
A.	BPETITIONER
	VERSUS
В.	CCOUNTER PETITIONER
AFFIDAVIT	
	, S/o, aged,residing
The deponent abovenamed hereby solemnly affirms and declares as under:	
1.	The deponent is the Managing Partner and authorised signatory ofthe
	petitioner in the application and the plaintiff in the suit referred to above. The
	deponent is fully conversant with the facts and circumstances of the case and stands
	competent to swear to this affidavit on behalf of the petitioner. The deponent will be
	referred to as the petitioner hereinafter.
2.	The suit is filed for recovery of an amount of Rs with future interest
	from the counter-petitioner who owes the money to the petitioner. The amount is due
	under a promissory-note executed by the counter-petitioner in favour of the petitioner,
	which note is produced alongwith the plaint as document No. 1.
3.	Inspite of repeated requests made by the petitioner, the counter-petitioner did not care
	to effect payment or settle the account, which necessitated the filing of the suit.
4.	Before filing the suit, the petitioner had sent a legal notice to the counter-petitioner

through its lawyers M/s...... A reply containing false and frivolous

contentions was sent by the counter-petitioner, without remitting the amount. At the

same time, he started taking steps for disposing of the stock in trade kept in his business premises at the address given above with a view to siphoning off the sale proceeds into his private and concealed coffers for screening it from creditors like the petitioner. His attempt is to remove those goods, dispose of the same and then start a business in the name of his son thereby effectively defeating a creditor like the petitioner. The petitioner has come to know of the said threatened plans of the counter-petitioner two days ago when he made discrete enquiries through his agent, from dependable sources.

5. For the various reasons mentioned in the plaint, the suit is likely to be decreed in favour of the petitioner in terms of the plaint. If pending disposal of the same, the counter-petitioner is allowed to do the threatened act, it will cause irreparable loss, injury and hardship to the petitioner, incapable of being remedied by way of damages. Moreover, the counter-petitioner does not have any other property or assets, movable or immovable, in his ownership or possession. The petitioner will be left high and dry, if the threatened acts are allowed to go unchecked. Therefore, the counter-petitioner has to be restrained from doing so. 6. It is, therefore, just and necessary that this Hon'ble Court within a time to be stipulated by this Hon'ble Court, issue notice as to why he should not be asked to furnish security for an amount of Rs................ necessary for satisfying the decree that is likely to be passed against him in the present suit, or the scheduled properties to be attached and removed to the premises of this Court and, in the meantime, to order interim attachment and removal to this Hon'ble Court's custody of the movable items belonging to the counter-petitioner and detailed in the schedule attached as prayed for in the accompanying application.

Sd./

Deponent.

VERIFICATION

Sd./

Sd./

Counsel for the deponent.

Note.—Affidavit to be attested by the appropriate authority prescribed under law.